

Coherent Risk-Adjusted Decisions Over Time: a Bilevel Programming Approach

Jonathan Eckstein RUTGERS THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY

03/23/2015 Final Report

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

Air Force Research Laboratory

AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ RTA2

Arlington, Virginia 22203

Air Force Materiel Command

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid DMR control number.

			HE ABOVE ORGANIZATI		/ valid Olvib (control number.	
	TE (DD-MM-YY)	(Y) 2. REPO	ORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
	/22/2015		Final			07/15/2011-01/14/2015	
4. TITLE AND S	_				5a. CON	NTRACT NUMBER	
Final Project Re		m:	D'1 1D ' 1			FA9550-11-1-0164	
Coherent Risk-	Adjusted Decision	ns over Time: a	Bilevel Programming Ap	proach	5b. GR	ANT NUMBER	
						FA9550-11-1-0164	
					Sc DDC	OGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
					JC. 1 KC	ORAM ELLMENT NOMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PRO	DJECT NUMBER	
Eckstein, Jonath							
Ruszczynski, Andrzej					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f WOE	RK UNIT NUMBER	
					31. WOI	CK ONT NOWIDER	
		ON NAME(S) AN	ID ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Rutgers Univers	2					KEI OKI NOMBEK	
100 Rockafeller Piscataway, NJ							
Fiscalaway, NJ	00034						
9. SPONSORIN	IG/MONITORING	AGENCY NAM	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	`		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
	e of Scientific Re			,			
875 North Rand	lolph Street					AFOSR	
Arlington, VA.,	-					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT	
						NUMBER(S)	
	ON/AVAILABILI	_					
All information in this report is suitable for unlimited release. DISTRIBUTION A							
13. SUPPLEME	NTADVNOTES						
13. SUPPLEME	NIARTNOIES						
14. ABSTRACT							
		f time consistenc	cy of multistage systems of	of stochastic op	imization	models, analyzing and relating various relevant	
-	-			_		ime consistency results in NP-hard models, even in	
the simplest cas	ses. However, w	e also found that	a standard MIP solver co	ould solve relativ	vely small	but realistic instances of such formulations in	
minutes. We do	eveloped and test	ed two technique	es for approximating a tir	ne-inconsistent	risk-avers	se objective function with a time-consistent one.	
		~ ~				l of Markov systems. We characterized the sets	
_		_		-		methods. We also examined risk-averse transient	
						y considering only random sequences	
that can actually	y occur in the co	ntrolled system,	we were able to derive a i	nuch more refir	ied structi	ure than for general risk measures.	
45.0::-:							
15. SUBJECT T		thamani Dist 3.6	Time Co. 14				
Optimization, R	disk Aversion, Co	onerent Kisk Mea	asures, Time Consistency				
16 SECUDITY	CI ASSIFICATIO	N OF:	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAN	ME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE ABSTRACT OF					Jonathan Eckstein		
			IIII	PAGES		EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	
U	U	U	UU	4		848-445-0510	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

- **1. REPORT DATE.** Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at least the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g. 30-06-1998; xx-vx-1998.
- **2. REPORT TYPE.** State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.
- **3. DATES COVERED.** Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May Nov 1998; Nov 1998.
- **4. TITLE.** Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.
- **5a. CONTRACT NUMBER.** Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33615-86-C-5169.
- **5b. GRANT NUMBER.** Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.
- **5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER.** Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 61101A.
- **5d. PROJECT NUMBER.** Enter all project numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257; ILIR.
- **5e. TASK NUMBER.** Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.
- **5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER.** Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.
- **6. AUTHOR(S).** Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, J, Jr.
- 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Self-explanatory.

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER.

Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.

- 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.
- **10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S).** Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.
- **11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S).** Enter report number as assigned by the sponsoring/monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.
- **12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT.** Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/ restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.
- **13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES.** Enter information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.
- **14. ABSTRACT.** A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.
- **15. SUBJECT TERMS.** Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.
- **16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION.** Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.
- 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT. This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.

Final Report:

Coherent Risk-Adjusted Decisions Over Time: a Bilevel Programming Approach

Principal Investigator: Jonathan Eckstein Co-Principal Investigator: Andrzej Ruszczyński

Period of Performance: July 15, 2011 – January 14, 2015
Funding Agency: Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Program: Optimization and Discrete Mathematics
Program Managers: Donald Hearn, succeeded by Fariba Fahroo

Grant Number: FA9550-11-1-0164

The central topic of this project was the risk-averse formulation of multistage optimization problems containing uncertainty. The key issue is developing time-consistent plans of action in situations when uncertainty is revealed at more than one point in time. Time consistency is essentially automatic for models that are completely risk-neutral or maximally risk averse, but becomes problematic in intermediate cases.

1. Computing global solutions of bilevel risk programs

We experimented extensively with solving relatively small but realistic multistage stochastic programming instances using time-inconsistent risk measures. Although we use objective functions that are not time consistent, we achieve model time consistency by using multilevel optimization constraints. In the first years of the project, we reformulated these multilevel models as MPEC's (Mathematical Programs with Equilibrium Constraints) and found local solutions using the LOQO Newton-barrier solver. In the last years, we further reformulated these MPEC models into forms recognizable by mixed-integer programming (MIP) solvers such as GuRoBi and CPLEX. To do this, we used two techniques, one with auxiliary binary variables and another using specially adapted special-ordered-set (SOS) constraints. We began our study with a class of simple supply-chain-based applications, using both mean-semideviation risk measures and a blended-CVaR risk measures (convex combinations of expected value and CVaR). We obtained the best run times using GuRoBi on SOS reformulations. In our experiments, GuRoBi able to find globally optimal solutions within minutes, except for one blended-CVaR instance. Although solution takes far longer than similar models with timeconsistent objective functions, the run times are still acceptable, except for the one difficult instance. For higher levels of risk aversion, the identified solutions were also significantly different from those obtained using time-consistent objective functions. These results indicate that our multilevel optimization modeling approach is likely to have practical applications. In the last months of the project, we began working with a multistage portfolio application. We also obtained data for a hydropower system planning application.

We submitted a journal paper containing most of these results, "Multilevel Optimization Modeling for Risk-Averse Stochastic Programming," by Jonathan Eckstein, Deniz Eskandani, and Jingnan Fan. We have heard informally that this paper has been conditionally accepted to the *INFORMS Journal on Computing*.

2. Theoretical results on time consistency of models

We developed a formal abstract theory of time consistency of multistage systems of stochastic optimization models, where a system of models consists of an optimization model for each node of a scenario tree. We proved several theoretical results relating this form of consistency to existing notions of time consistency of risk measures, and showing that our proposed multilivel optimization modeling scheme produces time-consistent systems of models regardless of the objective functions used.

This work is included in the same paper described above, submitted to the *INFORMS Journal on Computing*.

3. Complexity Theory

We proved that the simplest subclasses of the kinds of models we propose are NP-hard. In particular, we proved that applying our techniques to three-stage problems with linear objective functions and constraints, and using the most common risk measures such as mean-semideviation or CVaR still produces an \mathcal{NP} -hard problem class. This work is included in the same paper described above, submitted to the *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, and also appears in several online working papers.

4. Time-consistent approximations of time-inconsistent models

With the goal of eventually creating specialized algorithms able to exploit the special structure of multistage stochastic programming problems with time-incosistent risk measures, we developed new techniques which iteratively construct time-consistent approximations to time-inconsistent formulations. Each approximation can be efficiently solved by a specialized decomposition method. The solution obtained is then used to refine the approximation. Repeating this process a number of times results in computable tight time-consistent upper bounds on the optimal value of the original problem.

We developed two versions of this approximate method: non-parametric and parametric. The non-parametric method finds the best bound among all time-consistent models, while the parametric method considers only models from a specific family of risk measures, allowing for easy representation of the approximations. We tested both methods extensively and established their efficiency.

To obtain universal bounds, that is, bounds that are valid for all possible decisions, not only the optimal one, we developed a specialized combinatorial method. It is capable of handling linear models with specific parametric families of risk measures. The method is computationally intensive. We compared it to our decomposition methods for bounding the optimal value. In

summary, the bounds obtained by all methods are tight, and although the non-universal bounds are not guaranteed to hold away from the optimal point, they are of very good quality.

We validated our procedures by testing them on a real-world portfolio optimization problem.

These results are presented in the paper "Time-Consistent Approximations of Risk-Averse Multistage Stochastic Optimization Problems," by Tsvetan Asamov and Andrzej Ruszczyński, which has been published online in *Mathematical Programming* (with print version in process).

5. Rolling-horizon applications

We experimented with application of dynamic measures of risk to portfolio management on a rolling-horizon basis. We compared first- and higher-order semideviation risk measures, and confirmed that the use of higher-order dynamic risk measures gave superior portfolio performance.

We presented these results in the paper "Two-Stage Portfolio Optimization with Higher-Order Conditional Measures of Risk" by Sitki Gulten and Andrzej Ruszczyński, which has been published online in *Annals of Operations Research* (with print version in process).

6. Risk-averse control of Markov systems

Markov control models are more appropriate for decision problems with a long or perhaps infinite time horizon. We refined our earlier theory of Markov risk measures to accommodate undiscounted problems, such as risk-averse stochastic shortest paths. The resulting theory, in the form of risk-averse dynamic programming equations, fully characterizes the set of optimal solutions and provides insight into its properties. Moreover, we have proposed and investigate several new numerical methods for solving risk-averse dynamic programming models: value iteration, policy iteration, and both Newton and convex programming approaches to policy evaluation. We found that policy iteration with convex programming for policy evaluation is the most efficient method, with Newton policy evaluation nearly as good.

This work is included in paper by Ozlem Çavuş and Andrzej Ruszczyński, "Computational Methods for Risk-Averse Undiscounted Transient Markov Models," *Operations Research* 62(2) (2014) 401–417.

7. Development of the theory of risk-averse dynamic optimization problems for transient models.

We formulated the concept of a risk-transient model and developed corresponding dynamic programming equations for risk-averse optimal control. In this setting, we demonstrated that randomized control may better optimize risk than deterministic control. As special cases, we solved several examples of risk-averse optimal stopping and stochastic shortest path models.

This work is included in the paper by Ozlem Çavuş and Andrzej Ruszczyński, "Risk-Averse Control of Undiscounted Transient Markov Models," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* 52(6) (2014) 3935–3966, and the aforementioned paper by Ozlem Çavuş and Andrzej Ruszczyński in *Operations Research*.

8. Process-based risk measures

We created a new, refined theory of process-based risk measures, suitable for use with Markov and non-Markov control models. We also developed a corresponding theory of time consistency. Our main results in this area are derived needing to measure risk only for random sequences that can actually occur in the controlled system. As a result, one can deduce a much more refined structure for the risk measures than in more general settings.

The results are included in a manuscript "Process-Based Risk Measures for Observable and Partially Observable Discrete-Time Controlled Systems," by Jingnan Fan and Andrzej Ruszczyński, which has been submitted for publication.

We acknowledged AFOSR support in all submitted manuscripts.

1.

1. Report Type

Final Report

Primary Contact E-mail

Contact email if there is a problem with the report.

jeckstei@rci.rutgers.edu

Primary Contact Phone Number

Contact phone number if there is a problem with the report

609-802-8922

Organization / Institution name

Rutgers Unversity

Grant/Contract Title

The full title of the funded effort.

Coherent Risk-Adjusted Decisions over Time: a Bilevel Programming Approach

Grant/Contract Number

AFOSR assigned control number. It must begin with "FA9550" or "F49620" or "FA2386".

FA9550-11-1-0164

Principal Investigator Name

The full name of the principal investigator on the grant or contract.

Jonathan Eckstein

Program Manager

The AFOSR Program Manager currently assigned to the award

Fariba Fahroo

Reporting Period Start Date

07/15/2011

Reporting Period End Date

01/14/2015

Abstract

This project addressed the risk-averse formulation of optimization problems containing uncertainty. The key issue is developing time-consistent plans of action in situations when uncertainty is revealed at more than one point in time. Time consistency is essentially automatic for models that are completely risk-neutral or maximally risk averse, but becomes problematic in intermediate cases.

We developed a formal theory of time consistency of multistage systems of stochastic optimization models with an optimization model for each node of a scenario tree, analyzing and relating various relevant notions of time consistency. We proved that using multilevel optimization constraints to enforce time consistency results in NP-hard models, even in the simplest cases. We experimented with solving relatively small but realistic instances of such formulations by applying standard MIP software, finding that all but one instance we formulated could be solved to global optimality in minutes on a standard workstation. To aid in the development of specialized approximation and implicit enumeration algorithms, we developed and tested two techniques, one parametric and

one non-parametric, for approximating a time-inconsistent risk-averse objective function with a time-consistent one.

We also investigated rolling-horizon applications of coherent risk measures and risk-averse control of Markov systems. We characterized the sets of optimal solutions to risk-averse Markov control problems, developing and testing multiple solution methods, finding optimization-based policy evaluation methods to be the most efficient. We also examined risk-averse transient Markov models. Finally, we developed specialized risk measures for stochastic process control. By considering only random sequences that can actually occur in the controlled system, we were able to derive a much more refined structure than for general risk measures.

Distribution Statement

This is block 12 on the SF298 form.

Distribution A - Approved for Public Release

Explanation for Distribution Statement

If this is not approved for public release, please provide a short explanation. E.g., contains proprietary information.

SF298 Form

Please attach your SF298 form. A blank SF298 can be found here. Please do not password protect or secure the PDF The maximum file size for an SF298 is 50MB.

form-sf298.pdf

Upload the Report Document. File must be a PDF. Please do not password protect or secure the PDF. The maximum file size for the Report Document is 50MB.

final-report.pdf

Upload a Report Document, if any. The maximum file size for the Report Document is 50MB. Archival Publications (published) during reporting period:

Tsvetan Asamov and Andrzej Ruszczyński, "Time-Consistent Approximations of Risk-Averse Multistage Stochastic Optimization Problems," Mathematical Programming (online first).

Ozlem Çavuş and Andrzej Ruszczyński, "Computational Methods for Risk-Averse Undiscounted Transient Markov Models," Operations Research 62(2) (2014) 401–417.

Ozlem Çavuş and Andrzej Ruszczyński, "Risk-Averse Control of Undiscounted Transient Markov Models," SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 52(6) (2014) 3935–3966

Sitki Gulten and Andrzej Ruszczyński, "Two-Stage Portfolio Optimization with Higher-Order Conditional Measures of Risk" Annals of Operations Research (online first).

Changes in research objectives (if any):

Change in AFOSR Program Manager, if any:

Our original program manager was Donald Hearn. He was replaced by Fariba Fahroo.

Extensions granted or milestones slipped, if any:

AFOSR LRIR Number

LRIR Title

Reporting Period

Laboratory Task Manager

Program Officer

Research Objectives

Technical Summary

Funding Summary by Cost Category (by FY, \$K)

	Starting FY	FY+1	FY+2
Salary			
Equipment/Facilities			
Supplies			
Total			

Report Document

Report Document - Text Analysis

Report Document - Text Analysis

Appendix Documents

2. Thank You

E-mail user

Mar 23, 2015 10:58:13 Success: Email Sent to: jeckstei@rci.rutgers.edu